



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,270	08/19/2003	Remy Zimmermann	09623V-045300US	5170
20350 7590 12/06/2007 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			EXAMINER SURVILLO, OLEG	
			ART UNIT 2142	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 12/06/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/644,270	ZIMMERMANN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Oleg Survillo	2142	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Response AF

 (1) Oleg Survillo, Examiner, AU 2142.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Paul C. Haughey, Reg. No.: 31,836.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 December 2007
**Time:** 11:20am EST
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, second paragraph

Claims discussed:

1, 11

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 ANDREW CALDWELL  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was discussed if proposed amendment to independent claims 1 and 11 would overcome 112, second paragraph rejection made in the last Office action. It was agreed on that proposed amendment to claims 1 and 11 would be sufficient to overcome 112, 2nd paragraph rejection. However, claims 1 and 11, as proposed, would require further consideration and search.

It was also discussed whether newly added limitations to claims 1 and 11 correspond in scope. It was agreed on that claim 1 will be amended to have newly added limitation correspond to that of claim 11.